

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 19-21, 23, 25-30, 33, 37, 39-50, 51-98 currently stand in the application. Claims 1, 19, 39, 51, 57, 63, 69, 78, 83, 88, 93 are in independent form.

This amendment responds to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated April 20, 2011 in which the underlining of the new claims was held to be improper. The amendment above includes the new claims without underlining. The abstract is replaced and other amendments are made to the claims.

In addition to adding new claims, this amendment responds to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed to the Applicant on May 4, 2010 in which the Examiner identified that claim 1 in the amendments filed on February 8, 2010 and February 10, 2010 did not comprise the feature of a “memory controller” present in the amendment filed on September 10, 2009. Accordingly, in this amendment, Applicant has corrected claim 1 to include the “memory controller” identified by the Examiner that was present in the amendment filed on September 10, 2009.

Applicant has additionally corrected minor typographical and antecedent basis errors, and clarified minor wording errors present in the amendments filed on February 8, 2010 and February 10, 2010. Applicant has also verified proper claim status identifiers (e.g., new, currently amended, previously presented, etc.) and verified appropriate strikethrough and underline relative to the amendment filed on September 10, 2009. Accordingly, this amendment replaces the amendments filed on February 8, 2010 and February 10, 2010.

In the Office Action mailed December 8, 2009, the Examiner indicated that claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 19-21, 23, 25-30, 33, 37, 39-50 were allowable but objected to certain claims for informalities related to lack of proper antecedent basis. Applicant herein amends the claims to correct the informalities identified by the Examiner. Applicant has further amended independent claims 1, 19, and 39 and various dependent claims to add further clarity.

Claims 51-93 are new, of which 51, 57, 63, 69, 78, 83, 88, 93 are in independent form. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner enter and allow claims 51-93

based on their proximity to allowable claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 19-21, 23, 25-30, 33, 37, 39-50.

For example, claim 51 is a method claim analogous to claims 1 and 57. Claim 51 recites inter alia:

“. . . upon disconnecting the portable wireless communication device from the computing device not enabling the computing device wireless Internet access through the wireless communication component of the portable wireless communication device...”

Support for “disconnecting the portable wireless communication device” can be found for example in paragraphs [0051], [0063], [0064], [0065], [0083], [0088], [0099], and [0127] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 57 is analogous to claim 51 with similar elements.

Claim 63 recites inter alia “. . . intercepting a disconnection signal of the wireless communication device at the computing device and in response to intercepting the disconnection signal not enabling the computing device wireless Internet access.” Support for “intercepting a disconnection” can be found for example in paragraph [0051] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 69 is analogous to claim 1 but adds at least an additional element such as “. . . upon disconnection of the portable wireless communication device from the computing device not providing the computing device the protected data for wireless Internet access through the wireless communication component of the portable wireless communication device. . .”

Support for the above can be found for example in paragraphs [0051], [0063], [0064], [0065], [0083], [0088], [0099], and [0127] of the as-filed specification.

New claims 78, 83, 88, and 93 are analogous to claim 1 except that they do not recite a wireless communication component and wireless Internet access. However, claims 78, 83, 88, 93 recite inter alia enabling “phone calling” software. Support for phone calling can be found for example in Paragraph [0127] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 78 further recites private memory, for example “. . . a memory circuit storing phone calling software, the memory circuit including a private memory area storing protected data, the private memory area not being viewable or accessible by a user.” This element is analogous to claim 1 for example.

In addition claim 78 recites inter alia:

“. . . a memory controller having a processor that is executable to:

manage communication between the memory circuit and the USB interface, and

facilitate an autorun operation for automatically launching and executing on the computing device at least the phone calling software component upon connecting the USB interface to the computing device, the autorun operation includes accessing protected data in the private area of the memory circuit;..”

Support for a memory controller and its functionalities can be found for example in paragraphs [0046], [0047], and [0048], and FIGS. 1-5 of the as-filed specification. In addition to the above, claim 78 recites:

“. . . whereby the portable communication device is operable to launch and execute at least the phone calling software component automatically upon connection of the portable communication device to the computing device to enable phone calling function at the computing device that includes accessing protected data from the private memory area of the portable communication device, and upon disconnection of the portable communication device from the computing device automatically not enabling phone calling function at the computing device...”

“[T]o launch and execute at least the phone calling software component automatically upon connection of the portable communication device” are analogous to the element recited by claim 1 and is supported at least in paragraphs [0034] and [0088] of the as-filed specification. Support for “disconnection of the portable communication device” can be found for example in paragraphs [0051], [0063], [0064], [0065], [0083], [0088], [0099], and [0127] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 83, 88, and 93 are analogous to claim 78 with similar elements.

Applicant accordingly further asserts that new claims 51-98 are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests the same. Applicants believe no fees are now due. Please charge any additional fees required, or credit any overpayments, to our deposit account number 11-1540.

If the Examiner has any questions, or if a telephone interview would in any way advance prosecution of the application, please contact the undersigned attorney of record as indicated below or William Chang, applicant and representative of Flexiworld Technologies, Inc., the assignee of the entire interest in the application, at 503-381-7056.

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC
TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed electronically via the EFS-Web system at www.uspto.gov on May 20, 2011.

/ Heidi Dutro /
Heidi Dutro

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

/ Edward B. Anderson /

Edward B. Anderson, Reg. No. 30,154
Customer No. 23581
Attorney for Applicant
520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 224-6655
Facsimile: (503) 295-6679